

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,359	11/24/2003	Donald W. Warner	600SC [2630.3155.001]	4716
7.	590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER	
William H. Francis			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
P. O. Box 4390	ton Barnes Kisselle P.C.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Troy, MI 480	99-4390		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	/~~)						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/720,359	WARNER, DONALD	W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) [oxtimes This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice of	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-18,20 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 19 and 21 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection	=,,	• •					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	·152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	age				
Attachmont(e)							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) ☐ Notice of (6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

the

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on November 24, 2003 have been accepted by the examiner.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is unduly long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (A) The word "venture" on the eighth and ninth lines in paragraph [0002] on page 1 should apparently be changed to --venturi--. (B) The phrase "and emulsion" at the end of the ninth line in paragraph [0020] on page 8 should apparently be changed to --an emulsion--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-17, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, claims 1-17, and 22-25 are vague because

the penultimate line of claim 1 is confusing. Apparently, the phrase "passage for mixing" on the

penultimate line of claim 1 should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,359

Art Unit: 1724

9. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lemonnier et al. Lemonnier et al show a

carburetor with a venturi B, upstream region 12, throttle valve 13, primary fuel feed passage 4, 6,

C, and secondary fuel channel 4, 10, 9 as claimed (35 USC 102b). It would appear that

Lemonnier et al do not explicitly mention the presence of a choke valve. However, Lemonnier et

al do refer to butterfly valve 11 as a "strangler" valve and in view of col. 1, lines 22-31, and col.

2, lines 14-32, it is inherent or at least would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art (35 USC 103a) that Lemonnier et al's "strangler" valve 11 is a choke valve.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-17, and 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

11. Claim 18 would be allowable if the word --separate-- was inserted between "a" and

"separate" on the fourteenth line of the claim.

12. Claims 19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,359

Art Unit: 1724

13. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with

Page 5

all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37

CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. These references have been cted as art of interest to show other carburetors.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa July 7, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Richard L. Chiesa

ART UNIT 1724

July 7, 2005